

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,363	08/21/2003	Xian-Ming Zeng	NHC19586-USA	8633
7:	590 10/11/2005		EXAM	NER
IVAX CORPORATION 4400 Biscayne Boulevard			ALSTRUM ACEVEDO, JAMES HENRY	
Miami, FL 33			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1616	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	لر
	10/646,363	ZENG, XIAN-MING	,
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieties or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	uaust 2003.		
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits in	s
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
	will from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the l	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	iected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	=	. ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 119(a)	\-(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a))-(d) 01 (1).	
·— ·— ·—	a have been received		
		an Ma	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	* *		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	a in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	• • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∤d .	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Neterletices Cited (PTO-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claims 1-15 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to methods of preparing a dry powder inhalation composition comprising steps (a) mixing a carrier with a 1st portion of a 1st particulate medicament, (b) mixing said first mixture with a 2nd particulate inhalant medicament; (c) mixing said 2nd mixture with a 2nd portion of the 1st particulate inhalant medicament to form a dry powder composition, classified in class 514, subclass 185.
- II. Claims 11-14, drawn to dry powder inhalation compositions (products-by-process) and a MDPI comprising a composition according to claims 11-13, classified in class 424, subclass 489.
- III. Claim 15, drawn to a method for the administration of a particulate medicament comprising inhalation from a multidose dry powder inhaler of a composition of any one from claims 11-13, classified in class D24, subclass 110.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

Application/Control Number: 10/646,363

Art Unit: 1616

product as claimed can be made by mixing two portions of the first particulate inhalant medicament with a second particulate inhalant medicament.

Inventions III and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the methods of invention I are drawn to methods of making dry powder inhalation medicament compositions, whereas invention III is drawn to methods of administering particulate medicaments. The methods of invention I have different steps and modes of action than the methods of invention III, which requires inhalation of a composition from a multidose dry powder inhaler. The methods of invention I do not use an inhaler of any kind in the preparation of dry powder inhalation medicament compositions.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process can be practiced with materially different products, such as compositions comprising epinephrine.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/646,363

Art Unit: 1616

821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Dennis Emma on October 3, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H. Alstrum-Acevedo whose telephone number is (571) 272-5548. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni (Paddy) Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alton Pryor Primary, Examiner

James H. Alstrum-Acevedo, Ph. D.